IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1994 OF 2004.

Ulhas Murlidhar Netavane. ... Petitioner
Versus.
Sau.Sheetal Ulhas Netavane & ors. ...Respondents.

Shri M.S.Karnik for the Petitioner.

Ms.R.M.Gadhvi for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 14th June, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Karnik appearing for the Petitioner. The petition arises out of an application filed by the Respondents Nos.1 to 3 for maintenance under section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. The Respondent No.1 is the wife of the Petitioner and the Respondents Nos.2 and 3 are minor children of the Petitioner and the Respondent No.1. The learned Magistrate passed an order directing the Petitioner to pay maintenance of Rs.1000/- to the Respondent No.1 and Rs.600/- each to the Respondents Nos.2 and 3 till they attain the age of majority. In Revision the maintenance was enhanced to Rs.1500/-per month to the Respondent no.1 and Rs.850/- per month each to the Respondents Nos.2 and 3. The learned Sessions Judge after considering the fact that the gross salary of the Petitioner is Rs.15,000/- per month has enhanced the quantum of maintenance to some extent.

2. There is no perversity in the impugned Judgment and order. Petition is dismissed.

3. If the amount due and payable is not paid till today, time of three months is granted to the Petitioner to comply with the order.

Judge.